

b. By the Defector Center in [REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED] described a recent contact with [REDACTED]

3. [REDACTED] told of having worked in collaboration with [REDACTED] November 1950.

C. THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROJECT

1. [REDACTED] raised the question of [REDACTED] in talking about the substance of the project with professional friends. [REDACTED] promised to develop one if desirable. *

2. [REDACTED] stated he would like to establish an open list of cleared consultants so that he could have present at any meeting only those with a significant interest or contribution. *

3. As phases of the project might require the advice of highly specialized persons, consideration was given to the possibility of having certain conferences set up on a one-time basis.

4. [REDACTED] agreed to make the necessary arrangements for the panel members to observe an interrogation when appropriate. *

5. No completion dates for project should be stated until the complete project outline has been prepared.

D. SUGGESTED SPECIFIC PROJECTS FOR THE SUPPORT STAFF

1. Collection of substantive references for evaluation by the panel in the delineation of areas of firm knowledge. *

2. Preparation of a chart in which the situations likely to be faced by an individual were plotted against the known defense. (Conditions to be specified by [REDACTED]) *

E. SUGGESTED AREAS OF IMMEDIATE ACTIVITY

1. A study of the amenability of the individual and the detection of an "amenable type." *

2. A thorough study of interrogation. *

3. An evaluation of the part which instruments can plan in such a program. *

F. CONCLUSION

1. As the discussion drew to a close, consideration was given to the degree of success that could be attained. With respect to the

defensive aspect, the panel can make a definite contribution if an improvement is made in existing methods of resisting disclosure. With respect to the offensive aspect of eliciting information, a study of carefully selected areas of present information and properly designed research projects will enable us to develop better techniques.

2. In conclusion, [REDACTED] restated three questions which the panel will find useful in guiding their discussion: A

- a. What subject matter requires further evaluation?
- b. Who is the best man to evaluate present knowledge?
- c. What research projects will furnish us with needed information?

3. [REDACTED] stated that he would call a meeting of the panel within the next week. The meeting adjourned at 1630 hours. A

